Quantum Gravity Phenomenology Without Lorentz Invariance Violations

Yuri Bonder

Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

NEB 15: Recent Developments in Gravity 20 - 23 June 2012 Chania, Greece

In collaboration with P. Aguilar, A. Corichi and D. Sudarsky.

▲ロト ▲団ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三ヨー わらぐ

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Appendix

Motivation

- Spacetime may have a non-trivial micro-structure at the Planck scale.
- Look for its consequences through LSV (∃ a preferred reference frame W^a).
- Why? Any spacetime granularity could be incompatible with the Lorentz length contraction.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ● のへで

Motivation

However...

There are very stringent bounds on LSV (Maccione *et al.*, 2009):

$$p_a p^a = -m^2 - rac{\xi}{M_P} (W \cdot p)^3 + \cdots; \quad |\xi| \le 9 imes 10^{-10}.$$

Collins *et al.* (2004) showed that radiative corrections would magnify the effects of such granularity to a point where they are discarded by experiments. • Details

We study how a LS granular structure can become manifest.

・ロット (雪) ・ (日) ・ (日)

э.

Appendix

An analogy

There is no intuitive picture of how can a granular structure respect LS, we turn to an analogy.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

The lesson from this analogy

- In flat regions of spacetime, the symmetry of the granular structure (LS) and the macroscopic symmetry coincide ⇒ the micro-structure cannot be detected through LSV.
- In regions where R_{abcd} ≠ 0 the macroscopic symmetry deviate from LS ⇒

Spacetime granularity could become manifest through couplings of matter fields and R_{abcd} .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

Concrete coupling term

- R_{ab} is determined locally by $T_{ab} \Rightarrow$ coupling R_{ab} to the fields looks like a self-interaction \Rightarrow focus on R_{abcd} without R_{ab} , *i.e.*, W_{abcd} .
- We focus on fermionic fields ψ .
- We seek for coupling terms which are minimally suppressed by *M_P*.
- The most obvious coupling term is $(\gamma^a \equiv e^a_\mu \gamma^\mu)$

$$W_{abcd} \bar{\psi} \gamma^a \gamma^b \gamma^c \gamma^d \psi \propto W_{abcd} \epsilon^{abcd} \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \psi = 0.$$

• No more "obvious" dimension 5 coupling terms.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Concrete coupling term

- Let S be the space of 2-forms which inherits a 'super-metric' $\mathcal{G}_{abcd} = \mathcal{G}_{[ab][cd]}$ from g_{ab} .
- Weyl is a map $S \to S$, we use $\lambda^{(s)}$ and the 2-forms $X_{ab}^{(s)}$ such that

$$\mathcal{W}_{ab}{}^{cd}X^{(s)}_{cd}=\lambda^{(s)}X^{(s)}_{ab}.$$

The proposed coupling term is

$$\mathcal{L}_{f} = \mathcal{H}_{ab} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{a} \gamma^{b} \psi, \quad \mathcal{H}_{ab} = \sum_{s} \frac{\xi^{(s)}}{\mathcal{M}_{P}} \lambda^{(s)} X^{(s)}_{ab}.$$

- *H*_{ab} depends on the (dynamical) gravitational environment.
- The proposal is covariant, super-renormalizable and H_{ab} vanishes when $R_{abcd} = 0$.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Appendix

Refining the initial proposal

This coupling has some problems:

• W_{ab}^{cd} is not hermitian (w.r.t. \mathcal{G}_{abcd}) \Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{W}_{+})_{ab}{}^{cd} &= W_{ab}{}^{cd} + W_{ab}^{\dagger \ cd}, \\ (\mathcal{W}_{-})_{ab}{}^{cd} &= \epsilon_{ab}{}^{ef} \left(W_{ef}^{\dagger \ cd} - W_{ef}{}^{cd} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where ε_{abcd} is the spacetime volume form.
X^(s)_{ab} and ε_{ab}^{cd}X^(s)_{cd} always correspond to the same eigenvalue ⇒ Take the linear combinations Θ^(s)_{ab} s.t.

$$\epsilon^{abcd} \Theta^{(s)}_{ab} \Theta^{(s)}_{cd} = 0, \quad \mathcal{G}^{abcd} \Theta^{(s)}_{ab} \Theta^{(s)}_{cd} = \pm 1.$$

So The model is invariant under $\Theta_{ab}^{(s)} \to -\Theta_{ab}^{(s)}$ and the physical setting is not \Rightarrow Quadratic coupling term. • Details

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

Experimental Outlook

- Locally, this coupling looks like a LV term that has been studied in the SME.
- Using the non-relativistic Hamiltonian of the SME (Kosteleckỳ and Lane, 1999) we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}_{NR} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(\frac{\xi_A^{(i)}}{M_P} \alpha^{(i)} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{a}^{(i)} + \frac{\xi_B^{(i)}}{M_P} \beta^{(i)} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{b}^{(i)} \right),$$

where the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of W_{\pm} are encoded in $\alpha^{(i)}$, $\beta^{(i)}$, $\vec{a}^{(i)}$ and $\vec{b}^{(i)}$. Details

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Experimental Outlook

- Weyl is determined by tidal forces ⇒ care when comparing experiments in different places.
- Only polarized probes are affected \Rightarrow the setup has to be insensible to \vec{B} .
- The first bounds where obtained by using a Hughes-Drever experiment and the fact that the Sun gravitational effect on Earth varies with a yearly period.

・ コット (雪) (小田) (コット 日)

Appendix

Experimental Outlook



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Appendix

Summary

- It is possible to study phenomenologically some implications of a Lorentz respectful micro-structure of spacetime.
- A concrete and well-defined phenomenological proposal is made involving non-trivial coupling of Weyl and fermions.
- The proposal has been confronted with experiments.
- Other consequences? Neutrinos?

Spacetime granularity and LSV

- Consider Yukawa theory $\mathcal{L}_{int} = g\phi \bar{\psi}\psi$ in spacetime s.t.
 - It is granular.
 - Provide the granularity determines a preferential frame W^a.
- Assuming ∄ field's modes shorter than the size of the granularity *I_{gran}* ~ *I_P* ⇒ momentum cutoff Λ ∝ *I_{gran}*.
- For simplicity, this cutoff is implemented in the frame associated with *W^a* by

$$\frac{1}{p^2 - M^2 + i\epsilon} \rightarrow \frac{f[|\vec{p}(W)|/\Lambda(W)]}{p^2 - M^2 + i\epsilon},$$

where f(0) = 1 and $f(x \ge 1) = 0$.

Appendix

Spacetime granularity and LSV

• The scalar self-energy takes the form

$$\Pi(\boldsymbol{p}) = \xi \boldsymbol{p}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \boldsymbol{p}^{\boldsymbol{b}} W_{\boldsymbol{a}} W_{\boldsymbol{b}} + \Pi^{(LS)}(\boldsymbol{p}) + \mathcal{O}(\boldsymbol{p}^{4}/\Lambda^{4}),$$

where $\Pi^{(LS)}$ is the standard self-energy and

$$\xi = \frac{g^2}{6\pi^2} \left[1 + 2 \int_0^\infty dx x (f'(x))^2 \right].$$

- ξ is independent of Λ and $\xi \ge g^2/6\pi^2$.
- Unless there is an extremely unnatural fine-tunning when performing renormalization, the speed of light becomes particle dependent to a point that is experimentally discarded.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

The weak gravity regime

- We consider gravity in the linearized regime and neglect $\mathcal{O}(c^{-2})$.

$$A_{ij} = \partial_i \partial_j \Phi_N, \quad B_{ij} = 2 \partial_{(i} (\operatorname{curl} \vec{\pi})_{j)} / c,$$

where

$$ec{\pi}=G\intrac{ec{
ho}(ec{x}',t)}{|ec{x}-ec{x}'|}d^3x'.$$

 The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of Weyl can be encoded in α⁽ⁱ⁾, β⁽ⁱ⁾, *ā*⁽ⁱ⁾ and *b*⁽ⁱ⁾ s.t.

$$\mathbf{A}\vec{a}^{(i)} = \alpha^{(i)}\vec{a}^{(i)}, \quad \mathbf{B}\vec{b}^{(i)} = \beta^{(i)}\vec{b}^{(i)}.$$

Appendix

Bounds

$$\begin{aligned} H_{ab} &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta=\pm} \sum_{s,r} \mathcal{M}^{(\alpha,\beta,s,r)} \Sigma_{ab}^{(\alpha,\beta,s,r)}, \\ \mathcal{M}^{(\alpha,\beta,s,r)} &= \xi^{(\alpha,\beta,s,r)} \left(\frac{|\lambda^{(\alpha,s)}|^{1/2}}{M_P} \right)^{c^{(\alpha,s)}} \left(\frac{|\lambda^{(\beta,r)}|^{1/2}}{M_P} \right)^{c^{(\beta,r)}} \\ &\quad |\lambda^{(\alpha,s)}|^{1/4} |\lambda^{(\beta,r)}|^{1/4}, \\ \Sigma_{ab}^{(\alpha,\beta,s,r)} &= g^{cd} \mathcal{G}(\Theta^{(\alpha,s)}, \Theta^{(\beta,r)}) \Theta_{c[a}^{(\alpha,s)} \Theta_{b]d}^{(\beta,r)} + \cdots . \end{aligned}$$



◆□> ◆□> ◆豆> ◆豆> ・豆 ・ 釣べ⊙