#### THE EVOLUTION OF THE F-MODE INSTABILITY

Andrea Passamonti

#### University of Tübingen

In collaboration with E. Gaertig and K. Kokkotas

20 June 2012, Chania (Greece)

Thursday, 28 June 2012

Motivation

#### GW driven f-mode instability of relativistic stars

#### Time evolution of the instability

GW signal of the f-mode and its detection prospectives

## CFS instability

- Rotating NS are prone to CFS int gravitational-wave instability
- Radiation drives a mode unstable if int :  $\omega_r \left( \omega_r - m\Omega \right) \le 0 \implies \tau_{\rm gw} \le 0$

and

$$\delta \rho \sim e^{-t/\tau_{\rm gw}}$$

Viscous mechanisms limit the gravitational-wave instability









On the rotating neutron star, the r-mode's anticlockwise motion is actually ncreasing

$$\begin{split} \delta\rho &\sim e^{i\omega t - t/\tau} & \text{where} \quad \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{gw}}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{b}}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{s}}} + \dots \text{ and } \quad \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{\dot{E}}{2E} \\ \\ \underline{\text{Instability condition:}} & \frac{1}{\tau} \leq 0 & \text{where} \quad \tau = \tau\left(\Omega, T\right) \end{split}$$

#### CFS instability

- Rotating NS are prone to CFS gravitational-wave instability
- Radiation drives a mode unstable if  $\omega_r (\omega_r - m\Omega) \le 0 \implies \tau_{gw} \le 0$

and

$$\delta \rho \sim e^{-t/\tau_{\rm gw}}$$

Viscous mechanisms limit the gravitational-wave instability

$$\delta 
ho \sim e^{i\omega t - t/ au}$$
 where  
Instability condition:  $\frac{1}{ au} \leq 0$ 



# Equations



We calculate the mode-frequency and eigenfunctions from time simulations.

With the energy volume integrals we determine the damping/growth times.

We study the instability evolution with a set of evolution equations

#### Mode Frequency

Evolution of the relativistic perturbation equations in Cowling approximation

where

- $\delta\left(\nabla_{\nu}T^{\mu\nu}\right) = 0$
- Standard modelN=1 $M=1.4M_{\odot}$  $\Omega_K=4.229~{
  m kHz}$
- Supramassive modelN=2/3 $M=1.6M_{\odot}$ 
  - $\Omega_K = 11.206 \text{ kHz}$





#### Mode Frequency

- Evolution of the relativistic perturbation equations in Cowling approximation
  - $\delta\left(\nabla_{\nu}T^{\mu\nu}\right) = 0$
- Standard model N=1  $M=1.4M_{\odot}$   $\Omega_K=4.229$  kHz Supramassive model N=2/3  $M=1.6M_{\odot}$ 
  - $\Omega_K = 11.206 \text{ kHz}$



#### Instability Evolution

Basic equations

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = -\frac{2E}{\tau} \qquad E = \alpha \tilde{E}(\Omega)$$
$$\frac{dJ}{dt} = \frac{dJ_{gw}}{dt} + \frac{dJ_{mag}}{dt} \qquad J = J_s + \alpha \tilde{J}_c(\Omega)$$
$$C_v \frac{dT}{dt} = -L_v + H_s \qquad H_s = \frac{2E}{\tau_s}$$

Amplitude Normalization  

$$E = \alpha E_{\rm rot}$$
  $\alpha = 1 \Longrightarrow E \simeq 10^{-2} M_{\odot} c^2$  Note:  $\delta \rho \sim \alpha^{1/2}$ 

Mode growth

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\alpha}{dt} &= -\frac{2\alpha}{\tau_{gw}} - \frac{2\alpha}{\tau_v} \frac{1 + \alpha Q}{D} + \frac{2P}{D} \frac{\alpha}{\tau_{mag}} \,, \\ \frac{d\Omega}{dt} &= \frac{2F}{D} \left( \frac{\alpha}{\tau_v} - \frac{1}{\tau_{mag}} \right) \,, \end{aligned}$$

Non-linear saturation

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dt} = 0$$
$$\frac{d\Omega}{dt} = -\frac{2F}{1+\alpha Q} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\tau_{gw}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{mag}}\right)$$

## Results



#### I=m=4 f-mode Evolution

N = 2/3 polytrope





- At  $\ \Omega = \Omega_{\rm K}$  the growth time is  $\ au_{\rm gw} \sim 10^2 {
m s}$ 

GW signal

Characteristic strain

I=m=4 f-mode

$$h_c = h_V \sqrt{\nu^2 \left| \frac{dt}{d\nu} \right|}$$

$$t_{obs} \leq 1 \mathrm{yr}$$

I=m=3 f-mode



Thursday, 28 June 2012



N = I polytrope

- Magnetic field accelerates the transition through the instability window
  - Dipole formula

$$\frac{dJ_{mag}}{dt} \sim B_p^2 R^6 \Omega^3$$

 Mag.Torque becomes dominant for

$$B_{\rm p} \ge 10^{12} {\rm G}$$



### Magnetic Torque

- Magnetic field accelerates the transition through the instability window
  - Dipole formula

$$\frac{dJ_{mag}}{dt} \sim B_p^2 R^6 \Omega^3$$

Mag.Torque becomes dominant for

 $B_{\rm p} \ge 10^{12} {\rm G}$ 

Magnetic Torque

Magnetic field accelerates the transition through the instability window

Dipole formula

 $\frac{dJ_{mag}}{dt} \sim B_p^2 R^6 \Omega^3$ 

 Mag.Torque becomes dominant for

 $B_{\rm p} \ge 10^{12} {\rm G}$ 



GW signal

Characteristic strain

 $t_{obs} \leq 1 \mathrm{yr}$ 

For the more massive model with  $B_p = 10^{12}$ G the GW signal may be still detectable by ET.

Source is 20 Mpc (Virgo Cluster)



#### F-mode versus R-mode

 $N = 2/3 \mod$ 

- Non-linear saturation of the f-mode  $\alpha_{\rm f}^{sat} = 10^{-4}$  $\implies E \approx 10^{-6} M_{\odot} c^2$
- Non-linear mode coupling saturates r-mode

$$\alpha_{\rm r}^{sat} = 10^{-10} - 10^{-6}$$



#### F-mode versus R-mode

 $N = 2/3 \mod$ 

- Non-linear saturation of the f-mode  $\alpha_{\rm f}^{sat} = 10^{-4}$  $\implies E \approx 10^{-6} M_{\odot} c^2$
- Non-linear mode coupling saturates r-mode

$$\alpha_{\rm r}^{sat} = 10^{-10} - 10^{-6}$$



#### F-mode versus R-mode

 $N = 2/3 \mod$ 

- Non-linear saturation of the f-mode  $\alpha_{\rm f}^{sat} = 10^{-4}$   $\implies E \approx 10^{-6} M_{\odot} c^2$
- Non-linear mode coupling saturates r-mode

$$\alpha_{\rm r}^{sat} = 10^{-10} - 10^{-6}$$



### Conclusions

- The GW signal of very compact objects may be detectable from Virgo Cluster by ET.
  - The magnetic torque affects the spin down when  $B_{\rm p} \ge 10^{12} {
    m G}$
  - The r-mode may limit the f-mode instability, but we need to know the relative saturation amplitude more accurately.
- More ingredients in future work.
  - The I=m=2 f-mode may become important if we abandon the Cowling approximation.
  - Study realistic EoS and consider dUrca reactions.
  - Include the Crust and the effects of Ekman layers.

## This is the End